OCT 2 9 2002

STATE OF ARIZONA

DEPT. OF INSUHANCE BY

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

In the Matter of:) Docket No. 02A-182-INS			
EMPLOYERS INSURANCE OF WAUSAU, A MUTUAL COMPANY NAIC # 21458,) Consent Order			
Respondent.				

Examiners for the Department of Insurance (the "Department") conducted a market conduct examination of Employers Insurance of Wausau, A Mutual Company, ("Employers"). In the Report of the Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of Employers, the Examiners allege that Employers violated A.R.S. §§ 20-229, 20-357, 20-385, 20-400.01, 20-1120 and 23-961.

Employers wishes to resolve this matter without formal proceedings, admits that the following Findings of Fact are true, and consents to the entry of the following Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Employers is authorized to transact property and casualty insurance pursuant to Certificate of Authority issued by the Director.
- 2. The Examiners were authorized by the Department to conduct a market conduct examination of Employers. The on-site examination covered the time period from January 1, 1995 to December 31, 1997 and was concluded on of July 8, 1998. Based on the findings, the Examiners prepared the "Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of Employers Insurance Company of Wausau, A Mutual Company" dated July 8, 1998.

- 3. The Examiners reviewed 27 workers' compensation policies with effective dates from January 1, 1995 to October 1, 1997. Of these, Employers:
- a. Failed to issue two WC policies within 90 days of the policy effective dates.
- b. Failed to consider 15 WC policies meeting the eligibility requirements for schedule rating.
- c. Issued two WC policies in which the documentation for the schedule credit as applied was not adequate.
- d. Failed to complete the loss control survey within 90 days of the policy effective date in the issuance of four WC policies.
- e. Failed to comply with the NCCI premium deposit rule in the issuance of 12 WC policies.
- f. Failed to send the Notice of Arizona Workers Compensation Insurance to the Industrial Commission of Arizona (ICA) for the issuance of policies and the cancellations and non-renewals of policies at the election of the Company on six WC policies.
- g. Failed to apply an expected loss ratio (ELR) factor within the range according to its filing in the issuance of four WC policies.
- h. Failed to provide evidence of a document, signed by the insured or the insured's representative, electing to be subject to the Retrospective Rating Plan, in the issuance of three retrospectively rated WC policies.
- 4. The Examiners reviewed 22 assigned-risk workers' compensation policies with effective dates from June 14, 1995 to December 19,1997. Of these, Employers:

- a. Failed to apply the final E-mod factor as promulgated by NCCI in the premium audit billing of one assigned-risk WC policy.
- b. Failed to send the Notice of Arizona Workers' Compensation Insurance to the Industrial Commission of Arizona (ICA) for the issuance of policies and the cancellations and non-renewals of policies at the election of the Company on two assigned-risk WC policies.
- c. Failed to include the "Arizona Workers Compensation Insurance Plan Standard Notice" as required by NCCI'S circular memorandum dated September 21, 1994, in the issuance of five assigned-risk WC policies.
- 5. The Examiners reviewed 23 commercial automobile (CA) policies with policy effective dates from May 1, 1995 to October 19, 1997. Of these, Employers:
- a. Failed to provide adequate documentation to support schedulerating adjustments in the premium calculation of nine CA policies.
- b. Issued three CA policies in which the schedule-rating documentation included percentages of debits or credits that exceeded the range for the risk categories according to the Company's filing.
- c. Failed to provide loss reports in such a manner that the Examiners can verify the accuracy of the losses applied in the determination of the experience rating factor, and failed to provide the experience rating worksheets to support the calculation of the experience rating factors applied in premium determinations in the issuance of eight CA policies.
- d. Failed to consider four CA policies for application of the experience rating when the risks met the eligibility requirements for experience rating.
- e. Determined the premium for one CA policy by applying a loss rating plan that was not filed.

23

24

25

f. Failed to provide documentation for the determination of the
standard premium used as the basis for calculating the basic premium factor in the
issuance of four retrospectively rated CA policies.
g. Issued five CA policies with incorrect increased limit factors in the
premium calculations.
h. Failed to include an Arizona Countersignature Endorsement or
five CA policies.
i. Failed to issue two CA policies within 90 days of the policy
effective dates.
j. Failed to file its adoption of ISO's filing CA-96-BRLA1, effective
January 1, 1997, and applied those loss costs in the determination of premium fo
eight CA policies.
6. The Examiners reviewed a sample of 23 Commercial General Liability

l General Liability (CGL) policies issued. Of these, Employers:

- Failed to include documentation to support the change in schedule rating adjustments applied in the issuance of three CGL policies.
- Failed to include documentation to support the experience rating b. adjustment applied in the issuance of eight CGL policies.
- Failed to provide rating worksheets detailing the premium C. determination for six CGL policies.
- d. Issued five Employment Practices Liability policies for which no rates or premium charges were filed with the Department.
- Applied incorrect increased limit factors in the premium e. calculations of three CGL policies.

- f. Failed to include an Arizona Countersignature Endorsement on five CGL policies.
- g. Applied premium charges related to additional coverages (code 70408-Fellow Employee 7% of Premises/Operations and code 70416-Employee Benefits Liability Per Policy), which were not filed with the Department, in the issuance of three CGL policies.
- h. Failed to file the adoption of ISO filings GL-95-BGL1 effective June 1, 1996 and GL-96-BGL1 effective November 1, 1996 with the Department, and applied the loss cost revisions included in the ISO filings in the determination of premium of three CGL policies.
- 7. The Examiners reviewed 26 Commercial Property (CP) policies. Of these, Employers:
- a. Failed to include an Arizona Countersignature Endorsement in the issuance of nine CP policies.
- b. Failed to provide documentation for all adjustments in the determination of the EDP premium in the issuance of eight CP policies.
- c. Applied unfiled rates in the premium determination of the Boiler and Machinery coverage included in the issuance of five CP policies.
- 8. The Examiners reviewed 11 Commercial Package Policies. Of these, Employers:
- a. Failed to provide documentation to support the schedule rating and/or IRPM adjustments applied in the premium determination of four CP policies.
- b. Failed to provide adequate documentation to support the schedule rating adjustments applied in the premium determination of three CP policies.

- c. Failed to provide documentation to support the experience rating adjustments applied in the premium determination of two CP policies.
- d. Failed to provide documentation of the ISO loss costs for the specific rating of property locations covered on two CP policies.
- e. Applied unfiled "A" rates in the premium determination of three CP policies.
- f. Issued five CP policies and failed to file the adoption of the Insurance Services Organization's (ISO) commercial property loss cost filings, CF-93-RLA1 effective 9-1-93, CF-96-RLA1 effective 11-14-96, and CF-97-RLA1 effective 12-3-97, with the Department.
- g. Issued four CP polices and failed to file the adoption of ISO's general liability loss cost filings GL-95-BGL1 effective 6-1-96 and GL-96-BGL1 effective 11-1-96, with the Department.
- h. Applied an incorrect package modifier in the premium determination of two CPP policies.
- 9. The Examiners reviewed 17 umbrella policies. Of these, Employers failed to file the rates and premium charges for the coverages provided in the 17 commercial umbrella policies issued.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Employers violated A.R.S. § 20-1120(B) by failing to issue workers' compensation and commercial automobile policies within 90 days of the policy effective dates.
- 2. Employers violated A.R.S. § 20-357(E) by failing to comply with the filed NCCI rules to:

- a. Complete a loss control survey within 90 days of the policy effective date on schedule rated policies.
- b. Consider all risks meeting the eligibility requirements for schedule rating.
- c. Obtain the insured's signature on a document indicating the election to be subject to the Retrospective Rating Plan.
- d. Include the Arizona Workers Compensation Insurance Plan Standard Notice on all assigned-risk WC policies.
- 3. Employers violated A.R.S. §§ 20-357(E) and 20-400.01(A) by determining the premium in a manner that was not consistent with its filing by:
- a. Failing to apply the NCCI final experience modification factor in determining premium.
 - b. Failing to comply with the NCCI premium deposit rule.
 - c. Failing to apply its filed expected loss ratio.
- 4. Employers violated A.R.S. §§ 20-357(E) and 20-400.01(B) by failing to provide documentation for all adjustments to manual premium by failing to include correctly completed schedule-rating worksheets.
- 5. Employers violated A.R.S. § 23-961(F) by failing to provide notice to the Industrial Commission of Arizona of the issuance of workers' compensation policies and the cancellation and non-renewal of policies, and failing to provide 30-days advance notice in the cancellation of workers' compensation policies for nonpayment of premium.
- 6. Employers violated A.R.S. § 20-229 by failing to include the Arizona Countersignature Endorsement on commercial automobile, general liability and commercial property policies.

- 7. Employers violated A.R.S. § 20-385(A) by:
- a. Failing to file its loss-rating plan for commercial automobile policies.
- b. Failing to file its adoption of ISO's loss cost filings applicable to commercial automobile coverages.
- c. Failing to file its adoption of ISO's loss cost filings applicable to commercial general liability coverages.
- d. Failing to file rates applicable to Employment Practices Liability policies.
- e. Failing to file rates applicable to general liability codes 70408 and 70416.
 - f. Failing to file its adoption of ISO's commercial property loss costs.
 - g. Failing to file rates for Boiler and Machinery coverage.
 - h. Failing to file "A" rates applicable to commercial package policies.
 - i. Failing to file rates applicable to umbrella coverages.
- 8. Employers violated A.R.S. §§ 20-385(A) and 20-400.01(A) by determining premium in a manner that was not consistent with its filings by:
- a. Applying schedule rating debits and/or credits that exceeded the filed range for commercial automobile policies.
- b. Failing to consider all eligible commercial automobile risks for application of schedule rating.
- c. Applying incorrect increased limit factors on commercial automobile and general liability policies.
- d. Failing to apply experience rating to eligible risks in the issuance of commercial property and package policies.

- e. Failing to apply filed rates applicable to commercial package policies.
- f. Applying incorrect package modifiers on commercial package policies.
 - 9. Employers violated A.R.S. §§ 20-385(A) and 20-400.01(B) by:
- a. Failing to include schedule and experience-rating worksheets as documentation for adjustments to the manual premium on commercial automobile, general liability, commercial property and commercial package policies.
- b. Failing to provide documentation for adjustments to EDP premium in commercial property policies.
- c. Failing to provide completed Individual Risk Premium Modification worksheets on commercial package policies.
- 10. Employers violated A.R.S. § 20-400.01(D) by failing to provide rating worksheets as documentation of the premium calculations of retrospectively-rated commercial automobile policies and general liability policies, and failing to provide ISO's loss costs for specific rating of property locations covered on commercial property and package policies.
- 11. Grounds exist for the entry of the following Order, in accordance with A.R.S. § 20-220, 20-400.03, and 20-456.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Employers Insurance Of Wausau, A Mutual Company, shall cease and desist from committing the following practices:
- a. Failing to file all rates, rules, and rate-related rules as required by Arizona laws.

- b. Failing to comply with its filings in the issuance of commercial lines policies.
- c. Failing to determine premium in a manner consistent with its filings.
- d. Failing to provide documentation of all adjustments to manual premium in the issuance of commercial lines policies.
- e. Failing to provide rating worksheets as documentation of premium determination in the issuance of commercial lines policies.
- f. Failing to issue policies within 90 days after the policy effective date without obtaining the director's permission to issue policies after 90 days.
- g. Failing to comply with NCCI rates and rules filed on behalf of Employers.
- h. Failing to provide notice to the Industrial Commission of Arizona of the issuance, cancellation, and nonrenewal of workers' compensation policies.
- i. Failing to provide a 30-day advance notice in the cancellation of workers' compensation policies for nonpayment of premium.
- 2. Within 90 days of the filed date of this Order, Employers shall refund the premium overcharges to the seven policyholders listed in Exhibit A of this Order. The refunds shall include interest at the rate of ten percent per annum, calculated from the date that the payment was made, to the date of the refund.
- 3. The payments made pursuant to paragraph 2 shall be accompanied by a letter in a form previously approved by the Director. Employers shall submit a report to the Department within 90 days of the filed date of this Order, that includes the names of the policyholders, policy number, date of payment, amount of the refund and the amount of interest paid.

- The Department shall be permitted, through authorized representatives, to verify that Respondent has complied with all provisions of this Order.
- Employers shall pay a civil penalty of \$15,000 to the Director for deposit in the State General Fund in accordance with A.R.S. §§ 20-220. The civil penalty shall be provided to the Market Conduct Examinations Section of the Department prior to the filing of this Order.
- The Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of Employers 6. Insurance of Wausau as of July 8, 1998, including the letter submitted in response to the Report of Examination, shall be filed with the Department after the Director has filed this Order.

DATED at Phoenix, Arizona this 28 day of Ochler, 2002.

Charles R. Cohen

Director of Insurance

EXHIBIT A

WORKERS COMPENSATION PREMIUM REFUNDS

Policy Numbers Premium Overcharge 0716-04-052651 \$155.00 **\$155.00 Total**

COMMERCIAL AUTO PREMIUM REFUNDS

Policy Numbers	Premium Overcharge
2337-02-056069	\$833.00
2338-02-056069	\$ <u>604.00</u>
Total	\$1,437.00

COMMERCIAL PACKAGE PREMIUM REFUNDS

Policy Numbers	Premium Overcharge
0467-03-082598	\$131.00
0468-03-082598	\$189.00
0828-02-005075	\$6,123.00
2328-00-057206	\$669.00
Total	\$7,112.00

CONSENT TO ORDER

- 1. Employers Insurance of Wausau A Mutual Company ("Employers") has reviewed the foregoing Order.
- Employers admits the jurisdiction of the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, admits the foregoing Findings of Fact, and consents to the entry of the Conclusions of Law and Order.
- 3. Employers is aware of the right to a hearing, at which it may be represented by counsel, present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Employers irrevocably waives the right to such notice and hearing and to any court appeals related to this Order.
- 4. Employers states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to it to induce it to enter into this Consent Order and that it has entered into this Consent Order voluntarily.
- 5. Employers acknowledges that the acceptance of this Order by the Director of the Arizona Department of Insurance is solely for the purpose of settling this matter and does not preclude any other agency or officer of this state or its subdivisions or any other person from instituting proceedings, whether civil, criminal, or administrative, as may be appropriate now or in the future.

6.	J.	S.	Hoffert		W	ho	holds	the	office	of
Vice	President			of Employers, is authorized	to	ente	er into	this	Order	for
it and on	its behalf.									

EMPLOYERS INSURANCE OF WAUSAU, A MUTUAL COMPANY

9/27/02	By	X	Hollest
Date			, , , ,

	COPY of the foregoing mailed This da	v of	2000 (
	2	October	, 2002, to:
9	3		
	Sara Begley		
	Deputy Director		
Ċ	Mary Butterfield Assistant Director		
6	6 Consumer Affairs Division		
-	Paul J. Hogan		
,	7 Chief Market Conduct Examine Deloris E. Williamson	r	
8	⁸ Assistant Director	The state of the s	
9	Rates & Regulations Division		
1.0	Steve Ferguson Assistant Director		
10	Financial Affairs Division		
11			
12	Chief Financial Examiner Alexandra Shafer		
	Assistant Director		
13	Line a ricalli Division		
14	Terry L Cooper Fraud Unit Chief		
15		6 1 E ST	
13			
16			
17	Department Of Insurance		
18	2910 North 44 th Street, Second Florenix, AZ 85018	oor	
10	Thoenix, AZ 85018		
19			
20	Friene Wanie Rogulaton, Affaire		
21	Erlene Wanie, Regulatory Affairs Employers Insurance of Wausau, a	a Mutual Company	
21	2000 Westwood Drive	- mataur Company	
22	Wausau, WI 54401-7881		
23	Uney Button		
24	II .		

Date: 10/24/02 Would you please have the Director review and sign the attached Notice of Hearing x Consent Order Other _____ Order Regarding the Revocation Redomestication Suspension Release of Deposit Civil Penalty or Restitution License Denial Withdrawal/Merger/Acquisition _____ Supervision Surrender of Certificate or License Cease & Desist Other ____ ____x Market Conduct Examination Of Employers Insurance of Wausau This document was drafted by: Gerrie Marks Mary Kosinski Kurt Regner Jennifer Boucek Leslie Hess Other Market Conduct Division Approved Deadline Comments: